



RE: Avery Landing - 2000 Product Containment System

Hall, Steven G. to: Earl Liverman

01/11/2012 01:58 AM

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Earl -

The whole length of the existing containment system is approximately 800 feet. The breakdown between Potlatch and Bentcik is pretty close to 50/50.

On the 2007 survey performed for Potlatch, that in-water wooden feature that we observed right along the bank (where all the sheen was observed last fall) is labeled as a "dock." The dock is located right on the property boundary.

In both the 2007 RSE and the EPA EE/CA, we estimated that 200 feet of the bank was impacted. So yes, I agree that 200-300 feet is a reasonable assumption.

As far as the breakdown of this contaminated stretch between properties: my initial guess is that more is located on the Bentcik property -- maybe 25% Potlatch and 75% Bentcik. The 2007 survey indicates three seep locations dated October 2006, with one on Potlatch and two on Bentcik. More of this seep zone is on Bentcik. I will scan this figure and send separately.

About the 200-300 feet assumption for removal, what about the idea that we would not plan to remove the whole bank and would only chase visible product to the bank? If we do this, it's likely the length that needs to be removed will be less. This is one of the design decisions that we will need to discuss.

Look for some scans soon.

Thanks,
Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: Earl Liverman [mailto:Liverman.Earl@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 4:46 PM

To: Hall, Steven G.

Subject: Avery Landing - 2000 Product Containment System

Regarding the subject containment system, can you provide a quick estimate of the length of affected bank and what percentage is found on Bentcik v. Potlatch?

Also, in an attempt to better define the bank issue, does it make sense to initially assume we might have to remove only the 200' to 300' segment where we have observed free product at the rivers edge? Can you estimate what percentage of this segment is on Bentcik v. Potlatch?

Thanks.

(Embedded image moved to file: pic23532.jpg)

To report this message as spam, please FORWARD it to
spam@mailcontrol.com
